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Abstract
This document describes Web Services Agreement Specification (WS-Agreement), an XML language for specifying an agreement between a resource/service provider and a consumer, and a protocol for creation of an agreement using agreement templates. The specification consists of three parts to be used in a composable manner: a schema for specifying an agreement, a schema for specifying an agreement template, and a set of port types and operations for managing agreement life-cycle, including creation, termination, and monitoring of agreement states.

Status
This document is a draft of the WS-Agreement Specification from the Global Grid Forum (GGF). This is a public document being developed by the participants of the GRAAP Working Group (Grid Resource Allocation and Agreement Protocol WG) of the Scheduling and Resource Management (SRM) Area of the GGF.
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1 Introduction

In a distributed service-oriented computing environment, service consumers like to obtain guarantees related to services they use, often related to quality of a service. Whether service providers can offer – and meet – guarantees usually depends on their resource situation at the requested time of service. Hence, quality of service and other guarantees that depend on actual resource usage cannot simply be advertised as an invariant property of a service and then bound to by a service consumer. Instead, the service consumer must request state-dependent guarantees from the service provider, resulting in an agreement on the service and the associated guarantees. Additionally, the guarantees on service quality must be monitored and failure to meet these guarantees must be notified to service consumers. The objective of the WS-Agreement specification is to define a language and a protocol for advertising the capabilities of service providers and creating agreements based on creational offers, and for monitoring agreement compliance at runtime.

An agreement between a service consumer and a service provider specifies one or more service level objectives both as expressions of requirements of the service consumer and assurances by the service provider on the availability of resources and/or on service qualities. For example, an agreement may provide assurances on the bounds on service response time and service availability. Alternatively, it may provide assurances on the availability of minimum resources such as memory, CPU MIPS, storage, etc.

To obtain this assurance on service quality, the service consumer or an entity acting on its behalf must establish a service agreement with the service provider, or another entity acting on behalf of the service provider. Because the service objectives relate to the definition of the service, the service definition must be part of the terms of the agreement or be established prior to agreement creation. This specification provides a schema for defining overall structure for an agreement document. An agreement includes information on the agreement parties and references to prior agreements, referred to as agreement context, one or more discipline specific service definition terms, and one or more guarantee terms specifying service level objectives and business values associated with these objectives.

The agreement creation process typically starts with a pre-defined agreement template specifying customizable aspects of the documents, and rules that must be followed in creating an agreement, which we call agreement creation constraints. This specification defines a schema for an agreement template.

The creation of an agreement can be initiated by the service consumer side or by the service provider side, and the protocol provides hooks enabling such symmetry.
We use a coherent example of a hypothetical job submission to illustrate various aspects of the WS-Agreement specification, particularly relationship of service level objectives with service description, an agreement template specifying alternative service description terms and use of logical grouping operators, and agreement creation constraints in negotiating service level objectives. Details of the example scenario are described in section 2.

Section 3 introduces the layered model of WS-Agreement. Section 4 provides the overall agreement structure, service description as agreement terms and guarantee terms, respectively. Section 4.2.5.3.3 specifies the schema for the agreement template and agreement creation constraints. Section 6 defines compliance and section 7 introduces the port types and operations in the specification. Section 9 describes the process leading to the creation of an agreement.

1.1 Goals and Requirements

The goals of WS-Agreement are to standardize the terminology, concepts, overall agreement structure with types of agreement terms, agreement template with creation constraints and a set of port types and operations for creation, termination and monitoring of agreements, including WSDL needed to express the message exchanges and resources needed to express the state.

1.1.1 Requirements

In meeting these goals, the specification must address the following specific requirements:

- **Must allow use of any service description term:** It must be possible to create agreements for services defined by any domain specific service description terms, such as job specification, data service specification, network topology specification and web service description language (WSDL). Service objective description will reference the elements defined in service description.

- **Must allow creation of agreements for existing and new services:** It must be possible to create agreements for predefined services and resources modeling service state. Additionally, service description can be passed as agreement terms for coordinated creation of agreements and new service specific resources.

- **Must allow use of any condition specification language:** It must be possible to use any domain specific or other standard condition expression language in defining service level objectives and negotiability constraints.

- **Must enable symmetry of protocol:** A large number of scenarios are possible depending on whether a service provider or consumer initiates agreement creation, and also where the agreement state is maintained. The basic messages defined in this document can be applied for modeling various usage specific scenarios.

- **Must be composable with various negotiation models:** it must be possible to design negotiation protocols based on WS-Agreement specifications.

- **Must be usable by itself:** simple agreement creation must be supported in the WS-Agreement specification, independent of any negotiation model.
**Relationship to other WS-* specifications:** WS-Agreement is composable with other Web services specifications. These include, but are not limited to: WS-Security, WS-Policy, WS-Federation, WS-Addressing, WS-Coordination, WS-ResourceProperties, WS-ResourceLifetime, WS-Notification, Web Services for Remote Portals, and WS-ReliableMessaging and the WS-Resource Framework [WS-Resource]. The following table summarizes the relationship of WS-Agreement to a few of these other specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>External Specification</th>
<th>Dependency Strength</th>
<th>Is/MAY be used for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WS-ResourceProperties</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>Resource properties on port types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS-Addressing</td>
<td>required</td>
<td>End point references to resource-qualified services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS-ResourceLifetime</td>
<td>MAY be composed</td>
<td>Managing lifetime of agreements when treated as WSRF-type resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS-Notification</td>
<td>MAY be composed</td>
<td>Notification of events such as agreement state change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS-ReliableMessaging</td>
<td>MAY be composed</td>
<td>Reliability (at most once semantics etc...) of Web service messages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS-ServiceGroup</td>
<td>MAY be composed</td>
<td>Resource properties for related agreements and/or agreements created by a single provider.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS-Policy</td>
<td>MAY be composed, but not needed: WS-Agreement has its own compositors modeled on the ones in WS-Policy.</td>
<td>Aggregating sub-terms within a single agreement term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS-Security</td>
<td>MAY be composed</td>
<td>Web service messaging security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS-AgreementNegotiation</td>
<td>MAY be composed</td>
<td>Simple, multi-stage negotiation of agreement terms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**1.1.2 Non-Goals**

The following topics are outside the scope of this specification:

- Defining domain-specific expressions for service descriptions.
- Defining specific condition expression language for use in specifying guarantee terms and certain negotiability constraints. We assume standards will emerge elsewhere for a common expression definition language. Alternatively, different expression languages may be used in different usage domains.
• Defining specific service level objective terms for a specific usage domain such as network, server, applications, etc.
• Defining specification of metrics associated with agreement parameters, i.e., how and where these are measured.
• Defining a protocol and conventions for claiming domain-specific services according to agreements. For example, agreement identification in SOAP headers might suit a Web service, another mechanism is required for networking services, etc.
• Defining a protocol for negotiating agreements.

1.2 Notational Conventions
The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC 2119].

When describing abstract data models, this specification uses the notational convention used by the [XML Infoset]. Specifically, abstract property names always appear in square brackets (e.g., [some property]). When describing concrete XML schemas, this specification uses the notational convention of [WS-Security]. Specifically, each member of an element’s [children] or [attributes] property is described using an XPath-like notation (e.g., /x:MyHeader/x:SomeProperty/@value1). The use of {any} indicates the presence of an element wildcard (<xsd:any/>). The use of @{any} indicates the presence of an attribute wildcard (<xsd:anyAttribute/>).

1.3 Namespace
This is an XML or other code example:

http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement (Code)

The following namespaces are used in this document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Namespace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wsag</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement">http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement</a> (temporary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wsa</td>
<td><a href="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/03/addressing">http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2004/03/addressing</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xs/xsd</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xsi</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wsd1</td>
<td><a href="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/">http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Example Scenarios

WS-Agreement covers a wide scope of application scenarios relating to the establishment of an agreement between a service provider and a service consumer. This is achieved by using a single document format and a protocol comprising few states. Two examples are chosen here to illustrate the range of applications that this specification covers. These examples are referred to throughout the specification.

Note: in the examples we will assume that the service provider acts as the agreement provider, and the service consumer as the agreement initiator.

2.1 Job submission

A typical application scenario is the request for executing a computing job. A service provider may, as an agreement provider, post an agreement template available to interested requesters. In this scenario, the agreement template defines a list of applications to be executed, and the software execution environment typically specified in a job submission. Service consumers are given a quality of service guarantee in terms of number of nodes and/or per node memory and storage for a specific time period. Alternatively, the guarantees can be on the completion time. A service consumer requesting a submitted job must fill in the name of the application to be executed and its input and output files. In addition, the service consumer chooses the number of nodes (or any other resource requirements) that the application is guaranteed to execute on.

To submit a job, the consumer retrieves the template from the provider, selects the application name, and provides the URL of the input and output files as well as the details of resource guarantees. The filled template is sent as an offer to the provider. The provider decides whether to accept or reject the offered agreement specifying the job. This may depend on the queue of jobs waiting to be processed and the current allocation of resources. The service provider answers the offer with a confirmation or a fault. In due time, the service provider processes the job and writes the output file to the URL defined in the agreement.

2.2 Service Parameterization

In the second scenario, the service contracted is an application service provided by a financial company. The service consists of online banking and investment, where online banking service operations are accessible via a web browser and invest banking operations as web services. Online banking operations, such as UpdateUserProfile, GetAcctBalance, SchedulePayment, GetTransactionHistory and GetPaymentHistory are exposed via a portal. Investment operations, such as StockQuote, BuyShares and SellShares are exposed as web services using the Web Service Description Language (WSDL).

The financial company offers several service levels, such as **Premium**, **Selected**, **Preferred**, **Basic** etc, where each service level requires minimum investment amount and/or account balance, as well as offers different banking and investment fee structure. Additionally, each service level provides a certain Quality of Service (QoS), described via an agreement template, specifying the service and its guarantees, including the QoS options available to the customer. When new customers open accounts with the financial institution, they select a service level by customizing the options specified in the template. Customers can add availability and response time
guarantees to individual operations of the interface. For operation availability, customers choose between 95%, 98%, 99%, and 99.9%, defined as receiving a reply in 15 seconds. For average response time guarantees, customers choose between 0.5, 1 or 2 seconds, and set the number of operations per minute (especially for web service operations) for which the response time goal must hold. Also, customers can set the time when the service will be available such as 8AM to midnight daily.

This template offers many options to service consumers. Service consumers send a completed offer to the service provider. Based on capacity limitations, the provider may accept the agreement creation offer or reject it. For example, if a service consumer asks for 1 sec response time for up to 1000 requests per minute, the provider might only have capacity for up to 500 requests.

If the agreement offer is accepted by the provider, the provider provisions the service and exposes status information on guarantee compliance to the user. If not, the offer is rejected, and the customer may create a new offer with different desired service levels.
The conceptual model for the architecture of WS-Agreement-based system interfaces has two layers (see figure 1), which are from bottom to top:

1. The service layer represents the application-specific layer of the business service being provided. The class of provided service MAY or MAY NOT be exposed as a Web service interface. For instance, computational jobs may be virtualized as Web service instances, but other legacy services may not be referable as separate instances, let alone be exposed as Web services. Network availability can be seen as a class of service with no Web service representation, but it can be useful to manage its controllable Quality of Service (QoS) characteristics via agreements defined at layers above the service layer.

   The interface to this layer is domain-specific. This layer MAY be exposed as Web services. If it is, it SHOULD expose port types such as:

   - An application domain-specific service port type virtualizes the concrete service(s) being performed by the service provider. It exposes domain-specific operations. For instance the virtualization of a file transfer service into a FileTransfer port type could expose operations such as “suspend”, “resume”, etc. In addition, it can expose domain-specific state that the client (which can be a different actor than the initiator) can query or monitor. For instance a FileTransfer port type could expose a “bytesTransfered” resource property.
   - A service is created by a service factory which creation operation takes a set of domain-specific parameters as arguments. For instance:
createFileTransferService(sourceURL, destinationURL, ...).

2. The **agreement layer** provides a Web service-based interface that can be used to represent and monitor agreements with respect to provisioning of services implemented in the service layer.

The agreement layer has the following port types, as detailed in the [WS-Agreement] specification:

- An agreement port type, without any operation other than getters for runtime state and metadata of the agreement.
- An agreement factory exposes an operation for creating an agreement out of an initial set of terms. It returns an Endpoint Reference (EPR) to an Agreement service. The agreement factory also exposes resource properties such as the templates of offers acceptable for creation of an agreement.

The creation parameters can be defined independently of the domain-specific agreement terms defined at the agreement layer. The binding between the agreement and the domain-specific service(s) it manages MUST be described in the agreement, and can take alternative forms:

a. Existing services MAY be referenced by the agreement as part of its terms (thus, these references can be negotiated if desired).

b. Services MAY be created as per agreement, i.e. the agreement implementation has control over service (instance) creation with the agreement describing the behavior of the newly created service.

c. Services MAY be created externally but bear domain-specific identifiers enabling the binding of a particular agreement. For instance an agreement on the bandwidth of a computer network can refer to network-specific metadata (such as fields in message headers) as a way to state QoS guarantees on specific network traffic.

The Agreement port type MAY also **virtualize** the domain-specific service being provided, although the decision to design it as such would depend on the desired strength of the coupling between the agreement and the service.

Because of the multiple possibilities in terms of design of a WS-Agreement system, domain-specific and application-specific decisions SHOULD be made in terms of composition of operation and port type design that cannot be mandated by this specification. This document specifies canonical factories and port types for the agreement layer. Designers of WS-Agreement services MAY reuse WSDL port types, operations, messages, and input/output types specified here although they will always have to define the binding between the agreement and service layer, which is domain-specific.

Once an agreement is defined with the initiator (i.e., a party who acts on behalf of the consumer), the service behavior is managed by the provider as per the terms of the agreements. When different agreements are established on behalf of different consumers for a shared service instance, each service invocation needs to identify the agreement under which the invocation is to be managed. Details of service invocation (or resource usage) is specific to a service domain, and hence, outside the scope of this specification. For application services invoked as web services, the service invocation MAY carry agreement identification as invocation context as part of SOAP header. Alternatively, once a consumer is identified, a provider specific mapping may be used to identify an agreement.
4 Agreement Structure

An agreement is conceptually composed of several distinct parts. We summarize the structure in the following diagram:

![Figure 2: Structure of an agreement.](image)

The section after the (optional) name is the context, which contains the meta-data for the entire agreement. It names the participants in the agreement, the agreement’s lifetime and links to other agreements related to this agreement. The next section contains the terms that describe the agreement itself.

The XML representation of an agreement or an agreement creation offer has the following structure:

```xml
<wsag:Agreement>
  <wsag:Name>
    xs:NCName
  </wsag:Name>
  <wsag:AgreementContext>
    wsag:AgreementContextType
  </wsag:AgreementContext>
  <wsag:Terms>
    wsag:TermCompositorType
  </wsag:Terms>
</wsag:Agreement>
```

The following describes the attributes and tags listed in the schema outlined above:
/wsag:Agreement
  This is the outermost document tag which encapsulates the entire agreement. An agreement contains an agreement context and a collection of agreement terms.

/wsag:Agreement/wsag:Name
  This is an OPTIONAL name that can be given to an agreement. The name of an agreement is independent from the name(s) of the template(s) it is based on (see wsag:Context/wsag:TemplateName below)

/wsag:Agreement/wsag:AgreementContext
  This is a REQUIRED element in the agreement and provides information about the agreement that is not specified in the terms such as who the involved parties are, what the services is that is being agree to, the length of the agreement, and references to any related agreements.

/wsag:Terms
  The terms of an agreement comprises one or more service definition terms, and zero or more guarantee terms grouped using logical grouping operators.

4.1 Agreement Context

An agreement is scoped by its associated context that SHOULD include parties to an agreement. Additionally, the agreement context contains various metadata about the agreement such as the duration of the agreement, optionally, the template name from which the agreement is created. The context MAY also include other prior and/or related agreements. The new agreement thus augments prior related agreements, between the service consumer and the service provider.

```xml
<wsag:Context xsd:anyAttribute>
  <wsag:AgreementInitiator>xs:anyType</wsag:AgreementInitiator> +
  <wsag:AgreementProvider>xs:anyType</wsag:AgreementProvider> +
  <wsag:AgreementInitiatorIsServiceConsumer>
    xs:boolean
  </wsag:AgreementInitiatorIsServiceConsumer> +
  <wsag:ExpirationTime>xs:DateTime</wsag:ExpirationTime> +
  <wsag:TemplateName>xs:string</wsag:TemplateName> +
  <wsag:RelatedAgreements>
    <wsag:RelatedAgreement wsag:RelationshipType="wsag:dependency">
      <wsag:AgreementEPR>
        wsa:EndpointReferenceType
      </wsag:AgreementEPR>
    </wsag:RelatedAgreement> *
  </wsag:RelatedAgreements> +
</wsag:Context>
```
The following describes the attributes and tags listed in the schema outlined above:

/wsag:Context
   This is the outermost tag which encapsulates the entire agreement context
/wsag:Context/wsag:AgreementInitiator
   This optional element identifies the initiator of the agreement creation request. It MAY be a URI or a wsa:EndpointReference from WS-Addressing or MAY identify the initiator by a more abstract type of naming, e.g. by security identity of the owner or operator.
/wsag:Context/wsag:AgreementProvider
   This optional element identifies the agreement provider, i.e. the entity that responds to the agreement creation request. It MAY be a URI or a wsa:EndpointReference from WS-Addressing or MAY instead identify the provider by a more abstract type of naming, e.g. by security identity of the owner or operator.
/wsag:Context/wsag:AgreementInitiatorIsServiceConsumer
   This element of type xsd:boolean MAY appear. If it is absent or empty, its default value is “true”.
   • If it is “true”, the agreement initiator MUST be viewed as the consumer of the service and the agreement provider MUST be viewed as the provider of the service when interpreting the agreement terms.
   • If it is “false”, the mapping of the signaling roles to the service provisioning roles are reversed, i.e. the initiator MUST be viewed as the service provider and the agreement provider MUST be viewed as the service consumer.
/wsag:Context/wsag:ExpirationTime
   This optional element specifies the time at which this agreement is no longer valid. Agreement initiators MAY use this mechanism to specify an Agreement service lifetime. Extended negotiation languages MAY define other mechanisms to negotiate lifetime integrated with other negotiation terms. The resulting negotiated lifetime MAY be exposed as wsag:ExpirationTime. Note that the ExpirationTime need not be identical to the TerminationTime of a Web service encapsulation of an agreement that uses WS-ResourceLifetime. The ExpirationTime applies to the agreement, that is, the time at which the agreement terms are no longer in effect. The TerminationTime applies only to the service which encapsulates the agreement and makes it available for inspection. It is RECOMMENDED that TerminationTime be greater than or equal to the ExpirationTime in this usage scenario.
/wsag:Context/wsag:TemplateName
   This optional element specifies the name of the template from which this agreement is created. The reference to template is useful both for future modification of the agreement as well as provisioning of the service environment by the service provider. The template name MUST be included in an offer if the offer is based on a template (if no template is published by the agreement provider, this element MUST NOT be present in offers). A provider MAY check for this when doing an offer/template compliance check.
/wsag:Context/wsag:RelatedAgreements
This element defines a list of any number of related agreements. The related agreements are represented in the agreement service as related agreement services (see the port type section of this document). This element MUST appear; however it MAY be empty. The nature of the relationship is, in general, domain-specific, but an identifier can be provided in the RelationshipType attribute. Common relations could include “wsag:dependency” stating that this agreement requires another agreement to be present a priori or “wsag:composed” stating that this agreement is considered to be part of a larger logical agreement composed of many peer agreements with no ordering implied. Standardized relationship definitions could be the focus of other standard activities.

/wsp:Context/{any}

Additional child elements MAY be specified to make additional agreement contexts but MUST NOT contradict the semantics of the parent element; if an element is not recognized, it SHOULD be ignored.

/wsp:Context/@{anyAttribute}

Additional attributes MAY be specified but MUST NOT contradict the semantics of the owner element; if an attribute is not recognized, it SHOULD be ignored.

A wsag:Context element of type wsag:AgreementContextType MAY be used in an agreement to define an agreement context. Alternatively, the agreement context MAY be specialized, through derivation of the wsag:AgreementContextType Schema type in order to define other attributes of the parties or services engaged in an agreement.

4.2 Agreement Terms

The terms of an agreement are wrapped by a wsag:Terms term compositor. We define two types of terms: service description terms and guarantee terms.

- The service description terms provide information needed to instantiate or otherwise identify a service to which this agreement pertains.
- The guarantee terms specify the service levels that the parties are agreeing to. Management systems may use the guarantee terms to monitor the service and enforce the agreement.

The specification defines schema for service description and agreement terms as abstract types that must be extended for specific usage domain.

4.2.1 Term Compositor Structure

Within the wsag:Terms compositor, special compositor elements can be used as logical AND/OR/XOR operators to combine terms. This enables the specification of alternative branches with potentially complex nesting within the terms of agreement. The terms consist of one or more service definition terms and zero or more guarantee terms grouped using the logical grouping compositors.

The recursive structure of a term compositor, of type wsag:TermCompositorType, is as follows:

```xml
<wsg:Terms>
  <wsag:All>
    <wsag:All>
```
The contents of a term compositor are described as follows:

/wsag:Terms/wsag:All (or wsag:OneOrMore, or wsag:ExactlyOne)

This is a logical AND (or OR, or XOR) operator of type wsag:TermCompositorType which is used to logically group terms and/or other compositors underneath it. This provides a recursive structure to the logical composition of terms.

/wsag:Terms/wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm

One or more ServiceDescriptionTerms, and/or ServiceReferences and/or ServiceProperties specify different aspects of a service. A ServiceDescriptionTerm provides an inline functional full or partial description of a new service, i.e. the information necessary to "instantiate" the service.

/wsag:Terms/wsag:ServiceReference

These terms are OPTIONAL. A service reference contains a domain-specific reference to an existing business service.

/wsag:Terms/wsag:ServiceProperties

These terms are OPTIONAL. Service properties specify domain-specific aspects of a service that can be used to express the non-functional requirements (guarantees) of the service.

/wsag:Terms/wsag:GuaranteeTerm
These terms are OPTIONAL and MAY specify the guarantees (both promises and penalties) that are associated with the other terms in the agreement.

### 4.2.2 Service Description Terms

Service description terms (SDTs) are a fundamental component of an agreement: the agreement is about the service(s) - existing or not - described by the service description terms. The provisioning of this service may be conditional to specific run-time constraints, and additional service level objectives on how the service is performed may be imposed by the service guarantee; service description terms define the functionality that will be delivered under an agreement. The service description content itself is dependent on the particular domain. A ServiceDescriptionTerm consists of three parts,

- The name of the ServiceDescriptionTerm.
- The name of the service being described partially or fully by the domain-specific part of this service description term. This allows for semantic grouping of service description terms that may not be structurally grouped together in the agreement.
- A domain-specific description of the offered or required functionality. This element MAY completely describe the service it is about, or it MAY do so only partially.

An Agreement MAY contain any number of SDTs, as an agreement can refer to multiple components of functionality within one service, and can manage several services.

#### 4.2.2.1 Service Description Term Structure

The following definition describes the simple generic content of this type:

```xml
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm
  wsag:Name="xs:NCName" wsag:ServiceName="xs:NCName">
  <xsd:any> ... </xsd:any>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
```

The following describes the elements of the schema above:

- `/wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm`  
  ServiceDescriptionTerm encloses a description of a service or part of a service.
- `/wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm/@wsag:Name`  
  The name attribute (of type `xs:NCName`) represents the name given to a term. Since an Agreement MAY encompass multiple ServiceDescriptionTerms related to the same service each term SHOULD be given a unique name in order to make structural referencing of service description terms (for instance via XPATH) more convenient (see guarantee term section).
- `/wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm/@wsag:ServiceName`  
  This attribute identifies a service across multiple service description terms. The service description term is defined as “being about” the service identified by the
wsag:ServiceName attribute. This identifier is scoped within the agreement i.e. it is not meant to identify the service outside of the agreement.

There are two scenarios for which multiple service terms may be used to specify a single service, i.e., the same ServiceName is associated with multiple service terms. First, an agreement may define a packaged service where multiple ServiceDescriptionTerms may specify different service components. Alternatively, different ServiceDescriptionTerms may describe different facets of a service, e.g., interface using WSDL, and additional service properties and associated metrics using ServiceProperties.

This element is a placeholder for a partial or full description of the domain-specific service this service description term is about.

- This element is expressed using a domain-specific language that MAY be independent of WS-Agreement. Service description languages from different domains or specifications MAY be embedded inside distinct service description terms.
- This element MUST be defined as a global element in the XML schema where it comes from. WS-Agreement does not mandate any restriction on the name or type (which can be simple or complex) of this element.
- This element MAY refer to one or more aspects of functionality of the described service, as granularity of that functionality is a domain-specific concern.

Example: the description of a computational job to execute.

### 4.2.3 Service Reference

An existing service instance may be shared across many consumers, however, each consumer with its own agreement with the provider defining different qualities of service and obligations. In this scenario, an agreement simply refers to the existing service instance. The following definition describes the simple generic content of this type:

```xml
<wsag:ServiceReference
    wsag:Name="xs:NCName" wsag:ServiceName="xs:NCName">
    <xsd:any> … </xsd:any>
</wsag:ServiceReference>
```

The following describes the elements of the schema above:

- `/wsag:ServiceReference/@wsag:Name`
  - This is the name given to this set of service properties.
- `/wsag:ServiceReference/@wsag:ServiceName`
  - This attribute identifies a service across multiple service description terms. The purpose of this attribute has been described previously.
- `/wsag:ServiceReference/{xsd:any}`
  - This element is a domain-specific representation of a reference to a service.

Examples:
- An EPR in an agreement on the performance of an existing Web service
• Metadata identifying a class of packet headers in an agreement on network Quality of Service).

4.2.4 Service Properties

ServiceProperties are used to define measurable and exposed properties associated with a service, such as response time and throughput. The properties are used in expressing service level objectives. The following definition describes the simple generic content of this type:

```xml
<wsag:ServiceProperties
    wsag:Name="xs:NCName" wsag:ServiceName="xs:NCName">
    <wsag:VariableSet>
        wsag:VariableSetType
    </wsag:VariableSet>
</wsag:ServiceProperties>
```

The following describes the elements of the schema above:

/`wsag:ServiceProperties/@wsag:Name`
This is the name given to this set of service properties.

/`wsag:ServiceProperties/@wsag:ServiceName`
This attribute identifies a service across multiple service description terms. The purpose of this attribute has been described previously.

/`wsag:ServiceProperties/wsag:VariableSet`
This element is a variable set (see definition below).

4.2.4.1 Variable Set

Guarantees contain logical expressions that refer to aspects of the service(s) subject to the guarantee. For instance, metrics for availability and response time must refer to named concepts (availability, response time) and must be declared as named variables that can be used in assertions. The semantics of those variables must be defined to interpret the condition expression. Each individual variable has the following form:

```xml
<wsag:Variable wsag:Name="xsd:NCName" wsag:Metric="xsd:QName">
    <wsag:Location>xsd:anyType</wsag:Location>
</wsag:Variable>
```

/`wsag:Variable/wsag:Location`
The value of this element is a structural reference to a field of arbitrary granularity in the service description terms - including fields within the domain-specific service descriptions.

• This reference gives scope to the concept represented by the variable, i.e. the concept applies at the nesting level of the structural item that is referred.

• This reference MAY be an XPATH expression for instance to use with domain-specific service description languages that are based on XML. If this reference is an XPATH, it MAY be relative to the wsag:Terms section of the agreement document.
This element, of type xsd:NCName, is the name of the variable and allows the concept represented by this variable to be used in assertions. The name of each variable MUST be unique within the variable set.

This element, of type xsd:QName, is an identification of a domain-specific metric. This element is optional and intended for cases where the structural reference of the variable does not sufficiently explain the semantics and typing of a variable. The domain specification where the metric is defined MUST define a namespace and a local name for the metric, as well as its type in logical expressions.

Note: If an XML particle definition exists for the metric, and when a fixed value makes sense for the concept, a wsag:Guarantee is not necessary and the XML particle MAY instead be used inside a wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm element in order to specify a fixed value.

Examples:

```xml
<wsag:Variable name="CPUcount" metric="job:numberOfCPUs">
  <wsag:Location>
    //wsag:AgreementOffer/wsag:Terms/wsag:All/wsag:ServiceDefinitionTerm/job:executable
  </wsag:Location>
</wsag:Variable>
```

In this example, we assume a computational job is specified in an agreement offer (or agreement template, or agreement). A variable “CPUcount” refers to the concept of “number of CPUs to be used for the job at execution time”, represented as a typed, globally-defined Schema particle “numberOfCPUs” in the namespace assigned to the prefix “job” (domain of computational jobs). “CPUCount” can be used in assertions that express limits, ranges or more complex relationships. Its scope of application is the ’job:executable’ unique domain-specific term so as to distinguish it from the overall job specification, which may includes other directives such as file transfers.

```xml
<wsag:Variable wsag:Name="bandwidth" wsag:Metric="job:networkBandwidth">
  <wsag:Location>
    /wsag:Terms/wsag:All/wsag:ServiceDefinitionTerm[@wsag:Name='fileStageIn1']
  </wsag:Location>
</wsag:Variable>
```

In this example, the variable “bandwidth” could be used in the qualifying condition of the guarantee term to express a precondition on the file transfer it refers to.

```xml
<wsag:Variable wsag:Name="duration" wsag:Metric="time:duration">
```


In this example, the variable “transferTime” could be used to express a quality of service requirement (as a service level objective) on the file transfer it refers to. Note that the XPath expression enables to distinguish between several domain-specific terms of the same name (for instance to specify several file stage-in directives) as long as the wsag:Name given to the wrapping ServiceDescriptionTerm is unique.

Variables are grouped into a set:

```
<wsag:Variables>
  <wsag:Variable> … </wsag:Variable> *
</wsag:Variables>
```

This element, of type VariableSetType, contains one or more variables.

### 4.2.5 Guarantee Terms

The primary motivation for creating a service agreement between a service provider and a service consumer is to provide assurance to the service consumer on the service quality and/or resource availability offered by the service provider. Guarantee terms define this assurance on service quality, associated with the service described by the service definition terms. In the job submission example, an agreement may provide assurance on the bounds (e.g., minimum) on the availability of resources such as memory, type of central processing unit (CPU), storage and/or job execution beginning or completion time. These bounds are referred to as the service level objectives (SLO).

An expression of assurance also includes qualifying conditions on external factors such as time of the day as well as the conditions that a service consumer must meet. For example, a bound on the average response time of the banking service (as per the second example) is assured only if the request rate is below a specified threshold during weekdays.

An assurance also includes specification of one more forms of business values associated with an SLO. For example, a business value may represent the strength of this commitment by the service provider. Another example of business value is the importance of this assurance to the service consumer and/or to the service provider.

An agreement MAY contain zero or more Guarantee terms, where each GuaranteeTerm element consists of the following parts:

- ServiceScope: the list of services this guarantee applies to.
• Variables: aliases to concepts understood in the context of the agreement or to parts of it, used in qualifying conditions and service level objectives.
• QualifyingCondition: an optional condition that must be met (when specified) for a guarantee to be enforced.
• ServiceLevelObjective: an assertion expressed over service descriptions.
• BusinessValueList: one or more business values associated with this objective.

Note that a single ServiceLevelObjective MAY be a set of objectives expressed as a complex condition expressing bounds over many service attributes. Meeting the overall objective MAY imply meeting all the individual objectives. However, if the business values associated with individual objectives are different, (for example, if not all objectives are equally important), then each objective SHOULD be expressed as a separate GuaranteeTerm. Similarly, a QualifyingCondition MAY be a complex condition if multiple qualifying conditions need to be met for a guarantee to be honored.

4.2.5.1 Guarantee Term Structure
A GuaranteeTerm has the following form:

```xml
<wsag:GuaranteeTerm>
  <wsag:ServiceScope>…</wsag:ServiceScope>*
  <wsag:QualifyingCondition>…</wsag:QualifyingCondition>?
  <wsag:ServiceLevelObjective>…</wsag:ServiceLevelObjective>
  <wsag:BusinessValueList>…</wsag:BusinessValueList>
</wsag:GuaranteeTerm>
```

/\wsag:GuaranteeTerm
  This element, of type GuaranteeTermType, represents an individual guarantee related to the service described in service description terms.
/\wsag:GuaranteeTerm/\wsag:ServiceScope
  This is a list of service names referring to the respective wsag:ServiceName attributes of one or more of the service description terms in this agreement. The guarantee applies to every service in the list. There is no wildcard for referring to the services. Every service the guarantee apply to MUST be explicitly specified.

There are two scenarios under which a single SLO may refer to multiple services. First, a single SLO as an expression may reference properties of multiple services, e.g., to define overall average response time or total MIPs, etc. Alternatively, the same property may be associated with multiple services and hence, the SLO must hold for each service.

/\wsag:GuaranteeTerm/\wsag:QualifyingCondition
  This element MAY appear to express a precondition under which a guarantee holds.
/\wsag:GuaranteeTerm/\wsag:ServiceLevelObjective
  This element, of type xsd:anyType, expresses the condition that must be met to satisfy the guarantee.
This is the higher level element that contains a list of business value elements associated with a service level objective. Two standard business value types are defined later. Customized business value types can be expressed extending an abstract business value type, defined here.

The detailed description of the types associated with a GuaranteeTerm follows in the subsections.

### 4.2.5.2 Qualifying Condition and Service Level Objective

*QualifyingCondition* and *ServiceLevelObjective* are expressed as assertions over service attributes and/or external factors such as date and time. The type of both elements is xsd:anyType as a completely open content that can be extended with assertion languages which MAY be designed independently of the WS-Agreement specification but which MUST address the requirements of the particular domain of application of the agreement.

An example of a generic assertion language can be found in [XQUERYX].

### 4.2.5.3 Business Value List

Associated with each *wsag:ServiceLevelObjective* is a *wsag:BusinessValueList* that contains multiple business values, each expressing a different value aspect of the objective. Depending on the scenario and value type, each value represents an assertion by one or both parties. For example, in an agreement representing resource reservation for job submission, the submitter may express “importance” of meeting an objective, while a provider may specify “confidence” or likelihood of meeting that objective. In an untrusted or cross-organizational scenario, the business value may be expressed as a joint assertion using “penalty” or “reward” value type. A penalty expresses indirectly both the importance to a consumer, where a higher penalty is more likely to induce provider to meet this objective, and also specifies compensation to be assessed for failing to meet the objective.

“Preference” is used to describe a list of fine-granularity business values for different alternatives, where satisfying each alternative results in a different business value. For example, a job submission may specify many resource configuration alternatives, each resulting in a different utility. Depending on the available resources, other competing jobs and the utility to be achieved, the resource provider makes appropriate resource allocation to maximize the overall utility.

Other customized domain specific business values can be defined and associated with a service level objective.

Expression of business value in meeting certain assurances and flexible specification of service consumer requirements may free a service provider from fixed allocation of resources. A service provider can dynamically allocate resources based on actual measured or estimated service consumer requirements, and evaluation of business values. For example, a new arrival of a high priority job may result in reduction of allocated resources or suspension of an existing low priority job.

```xml
<wsag:BusinessValueList>
  <wsag:Importance> xsd:integer </wsag:Importance>?
  <wsag:Penalty> </wsag:Penalty>?
</wsag:BusinessValueList>
```
4.2.5.3.1 Importance

In many cases, all service level objectives (SLO) will not carry the same level of importance. It is necessary therefore, to be able to assign a “business value” in terms of relative importance to an objective so that its importance can be understood, and so tradeoffs can be made by the service provider amongst various guarantees when sufficient resources are available. Absolute value of a guarantee on the other hand specifies business impact of meeting or violating an individual SLO, expressed via Reward and Penalty. Relative importance can be thought of as a measure of importance with a default measurement unit.

Relative terms, such as high, low, medium, etc. can be used to prioritize across many guarantees. However, to provide stronger semantics and easier comparison of this value, this is expressed using an integer.

4.2.5.3.2 Penalty and Rewards

In business Service Level Agreements (SLAs), this importance is indirectly expressed by specifying the consequences of not meeting this assurance. Here, each violation of a guarantee term during an assessment window will incur a certain penalty. The penalty assessment is measured in a specified unit and defined by a value expression.
This element defines a business value expression for not meeting an associated objective.

This element defines the interval over which a penalty is assessed.

This element when present defines the assessment interval as a duration.

This element when present defines the assessment interval as a service specific count, such as number of invocation.

This element defines the unit for assessing penalty, such as USD. This is an optional element since in some cases a default unit MAY be assumed.

This element defines the assessment amount, which can be an integer, a float or an arbitrary domain-specific expression.

Alternatively, meeting each objective generates a reward for a service provider. The value expression for reward is similar to that of penalty.

### 4.2.5.3.3 Preference

“Preference” is used to describe a list of fine-granularity business values for different alternatives, where satisfying each alternative results in a different business value. For example, a job submission may specify many resource configuration alternatives, each resulting in a different utility. Depending on the available resources, other competing jobs and the utility to be achieved, the resource provider makes appropriate resource allocation to maximize the overall utility.
/wsag:Preference/wsag:Utility

This element can appear multiple times, one corresponding to each ServiceTermReference.
5 Agreement Template and Creation Constraints

To create an agreement, a client makes an offer to an agreement factory. An agreement creation offer has the same structure as an agreement. The agreement factory advertises the types of offers it is willing to accept by means of agreement templates.

An agreement template is composed of three distinct parts. We summarize the structure in the following diagram:

![Figure 3: Structure of an agreement template.](image)

The structure of an agreement template is the same as that of an agreement, but an Agreement template MAY also contain a creation constraint section, i.e. a section with constraints on possible values of terms for creating an agreement. The constraints make it possible to specify the valid ranges or distinct values that the terms may take. The constraints refer back to individual terms they apply to using XPATH.

The contents of an agreement template are of the form:

```xml
<wsag:template>
    <wsag:Name>
        xs:NCName
    </wsag:Name> ?
</wsag:template>
```
The following describes the contents of the agreement template:

(wsag:template)

This is the outermost document tag which encapsulates the entire agreement template. An agreement template contains an agreement context template and a collection of possible agreement terms.

(wsag:template/wsag:Name)

This is an OPTIONAL name that can be given to an agreement matching this template.

(wsag:template/wsag:Context)

This is a REQUIRED element in the agreement template. This is the template for the context of the agreements matching the containing agreement template.

(wsag:template/wsag:Terms)

This section specifies the possible terms in the agreements matching this template. The description of this section has been made previously in this document (see “Agreement Structure”) and is not repeated here.

(wsag:template/wsag:CreationConstraints)

These are OPTIONAL elements that MAY provide constraints on the values that the various terms may take in a concrete agreement.

The specification of a creation constraint section in a template does not state a promise that an agreement creation offer fulfilling the constraints will be accepted. Typically, an agreement provider MAY publish an agreement template containing a creation constraint section, outlining agreements it is generally willing to accept. Whether the agreement provider accepts a given offer might depend on its current resource situation.

5.1 Creation Constraints

The element CreationConstraints is of type wsag:ConstraintSectionType. It has the following form inside the template:

<wsag:template>
  ...
  <wsag:CreationConstraints> ?
    <wsag:Item>...</wsag:Item> *
  <wsag:Constraint>...</wsag:Constraint> *
</wsag:template>
/wsag:template/wsag:CreationConstraints
This optional element of an Agreement, of type wsag:ConstraintSectionType, expresses the constraints for creating/negotiating an agreement. It contains any number of offer items and constraints in any order.

/wsag:template/wsag:CreationConstraints/wsag:Item
This element specifies that a particular field of the agreement must be present with a value in the agreement offer, and which values are possible.

/wsag:template/wsag:CreationConstraints/wsag:Constraint
A constraint, of type wsag:ConstraintType, defines any constraint involving the values of one or more terms.

The wsag:ConstraintSectionType MAY be used by other specifications in order to define constraints that must apply when creating or modifying agreements, for instance in agreement negotiations.

### 5.1.1 Offer Item

An offer item specifies the requirement for the presence in the agreement offer terms of a field and a value for that field. It contains a label, a pointer to the position of the field in the terms of the offer and a definition of its acceptable values in the form of a restriction of its value space.

```xml
<wsg:Item Name="xsd:NCName">
  <wsg:Location>
    xsd:anyType
  </wsg:Location>
  <xsd:restriction>
    xsd:simpleRestrictionModel
    <xsd:restriction> ?
  </xsd:restriction>
</wsg:Item>
```

/wsag:Item
A simple restriction represents a simple value constraint on a term of an offer.

/wsag:Item/@Name
The name is a label of the field that uniquely identifies the field in the offer and can be used to refer to the restriction item in a convenient way.

/wsag:Item/Location
The location is a structural reference, for instance an XPATH expression, which points to the location in the terms of the Agreement that can be changed and filled in. The value currently set at the location referred to is the default value of the item.

/wsag:Item/restriction
A restriction applies to the value that can be filled in by an agreement initiator at the specified location at agreement creation time. If all filled in values adhere to their respective restriction an agreement is compliant with its template. The restriction element, which is a reference to the group xs:simpleRestrictionModel from the XML Schema namespace, is a constraint that restricts the domain beyond the type definition of the particular term syntax of the item, which can be domain-specific. The restriction syntax is taken from the corresponding XML Schema definition of the group. It is the responsibility of the author of the template to make sure that the restriction defined in the Item is a valid restriction of the type of the field that the item location attribute points to. Restrictions are not quality of service constraints, which are to be defined in guarantee terms.

5.1.2 Free–form Constraints

Free-form constraints make it possible to restrict the possible values of the term set of an offer beyond restrictions of individual terms. For example, an offered response time may only be valid for a given range of throughput values of a service. This specification does not define a constraint language but proposes to choose a suitable existing one. Hence, the Constraint is an empty top-level element that must be extended by a specific, suitable constraint language:

```xml
<wsag:Constraint/>
```

A general purpose constraint language has been proposed as part of the XQuery and XPATH language. The XML rendering of this expression language, XQueryX, contains a suitable constraint language that can be used to phrase constraints involving multiple items.

```xml
<wsag:XQueryXConstraint>
  <wsag:Expression> ... </wsag:Expression>
</wsag:XQueryXConstraint>
```

`/wsag:XQueryXContraint`

This element, of type XQueryXConstraintType, substitutes the Constraint element to contain XQueryX expressions.

`/wsag:XQueryXContraint/wsag:Expression`

This element, of type operatorExpr, taken from the XQueryX schema, contains an operator expression according to this syntax. However, the syntax design of XQueryX is very liberal and, hence, expressions can be phrased that are not semantically valid.

In XQueryX expressions, Item names are mapped to variable names.

Any other constraint language MAY be equally or better suited for particular purposes.
6 Compliance of Offers with Templates

In order for an agreement offer to be accepted, it MUST comply with at least one template advertised by the agreement provider to which the offer is submitted. Likewise, an agreement provider MUST NOT accept an agreement offer that does not comply with at least one of the templates it advertises. In this section we define the concept of agreement template compliance.

**Definition:** An agreement template offer is compliant with a template advertised by an agreement provider if and only if each term of service described in the Terms section of the agreement offer complies with the term constraints expressed in the wsag:CreationConstraints section of the agreement template.

In addition, certain portions of the Context section of the offer have a required relation to corresponding portions of the Context in the template. These are:

- **wsag:AgreementProvider:** The AgreementProvider value provided in the offer must match the value, if any, specified in the template.
- **wsag:ExpirationTime:** If the template context contains an ExpirationTime element, the ExpirationTime element of the offer MUST NOT be greater than that of the template.
- **wsag:TemplateName:** The TemplateName in the offer must exactly match the name provided in the template document against which compliance is being checked. If the TemplateName is not provided, the provider MAY use any policy to determine compliance. These MAY include rejecting all, testing against all templates, or evaluating independently of the templates advertised.

7 Runtime States of Terms

Terms have a runtime state that can be monitored. The objective of term status monitoring is to observe agreement compliance at runtime. To interpret the state of a guarantee, the service term state must be known. If a service is not running, a guarantee term might not be determined.

7.1 Guarantee States

This property represents a state of fulfillment for each guarantee term of the agreement. Each list element is a tuple (term ID, guarantee term state).

The guarantee states follow a simple state model:

![Guarantee States Diagram]

The semantics of the states is as follows:
• **Fulfilled** – Currently the guarantee is fulfilled.
• **Violated** – Currently the guarantee is violated.
• **Not Determined** – No activity regarding this guarantee has happened yet or is currently happening that allows evaluating whether the guarantee is met.

### 7.2 Service Runtime States

The property exposes a service state for each service description term that abstractly describes the state of a service, independent of its domain. Each list element is a tuple (term ID, service term state).

The service term state observes the following state model:

Not Ready ←→ Ready ←→ Processing →→ Completed

The semantics of the states is as follows:

- **Not Ready** – The service cannot be used yet.
- **Ready** – The service can start now to be used by a client or to be executed by the service provider.
- **Processing** – The service is currently being processed or in use.
- **Completed** – The service cannot be used any more and any service provider activity performing a job is finished. This state does not express whether an execution of a job or service was successful.

Based on the service term state, agreement states can be determined. If a service is not ready or ready, the state of a guarantee relating to this service term is not determined. If the service description term is processing or completed, the guarantee term can expose the states fulfilled or violated.

### 8 Port Types and Operations

In this section we detail the AgreementFactory and Agreement port types.

Per the reuse principles of the WS-Resource Framework [WS-Resource] on which the Web service expression of this specification is based, interface reuse can be achieved by copying and pasting operation and resource definitions specified here. Designers can reuse the messages and resource properties defined in the AgreementFactory and Agreement port types and compose them in their own specialized, domain-specific port types. They can also compose agreement state-related resource properties as defined in the AgreementState placeholder port type into their own Agreement port type.

Every port type exposes a wsag:GetResourceProperty operation based on the operation of the same NCName as defined in [WS-ResourceProperties]. This operation enables the port types to expose read-only resource properties. Its definition is identical to the one in [WS-ResourceProperties] and has not been repeated here.

The wsrp:GetMultipleResourceProperties operation from [WS-ResourceProperties] MAY be composed as well in order to enable retrieval of several resource properties
in one request/response message exchange, for instance in order to obtain a complete agreement in one round-trip invocation. Similarly, other operations from [WS-ResourceProperties] (and other specifications) such as wsrp:QueryResourceProperty MAY be composed into domain-specific agreement and agreement factory port types. Full WSDL definition of the port types can be found in Appendix.

8.1 Port Type wsag:AgreementFactory

8.1.1 Operation wsag:CreateAgreement

The wsag:createAgreement operation is used to generate an Agreement.

8.1.1.1 Input

The form of the wsag:createAgreement input message is:

```xml
<wsag:createAgreementInput>
  <wsag:initiatorAgreementEPR>
    EPR1
  </wsag:initiatorAgreementEPR>
  <wsag:AgreementOffer>
    ...
  </wsag:AgreementOffer>
</wsag:createAgreementInput>
```

The contents of the input message are further described as follows:

/wsg:createAgreementInput/initiatorAgreementEPR

This optional element is an endpoint reference (EPR) providing a contact point EPR1 where the invoked party can send messages pertaining to this Agreement. The invoked party MUST NOT invoke operations on EPR1 after returning a fault on this operation.

/wsg:createAgreementInput/AgreementOffer

The agreement offer made by the sending party. It MUST satisfy the agreement creation constraints expressed in one or more of the templates advertised by the AgreementFactory.

8.1.1.2 Result

The successful result of wsag:createAgreement is the EPR of a newly created Agreement:

```xml
<wsag:createAgreementResponse>
  <wsag:createdAgreementEPR>
    EPR2
  </wsag:createdAgreementEPR>
</wsag:createAgreementResponse>
```

The contents of the response message are further described as follows:
/wsag:createAgreementResponse/createdAgreementEPR
This is the EPR to a newly created Agreement bearing the same terms as the input agreement offer. This element MUST appear.

/wsag:createAgreementResponse/agreement
The response offer MUST be textually equivalent to the input offer except that the offer type MUST follow the rules of the protocol state machine.

8.1.1.3 Faults
A fault response indicates that the offer was rejected and may also indicate domain-specific reasons.

8.1.2 Resource Property wsag:Template
The templates resource property represents 0 or more templates of offers that can be accepted by the wsag:AgreementFactory operations in order to create an Agreement. A template defines a grouping of certain agreement terms along with negotiability constraints.

8.2 Port Type wsag:Agreement
The wsag:Agreement port type does not expose any WS-Agreement-specific operations.

8.2.1 Operation wsag:Terminate
Terminates an agreement, if permissible.

8.2.1.1 Input
The form of the wsag:Terminate input message is:

```xml
<wsag:TerminateInput>
  xsd:any
</wsag:TerminateInput>
```

The contents of the input message are further described as follows:

/wsag:terminate/xsd:any
Any domain-specific content may be added. This content may be used for a variety of purposes such as logging the termination condition, or evaluating if a domain-specific cause for termination is sufficient to permit the agreement to be terminated.

8.2.1.2 Result
The result of the wsag:Terminate operation is always empty.

```xml
<wsag:TerminateResponse>
</wsag:TerminateResponse>
```

8.2.1.3 Faults
A fault response indicates that the termination was rejected and may also indicate domain-specific reasons.
8.2.2 Resource Property wsag:Context
The wsag:Context resource property is of type wsag:AgreementContextType. The context is static information about the agreement such as the parties involved in the agreement. See the section in this document about the agreement context.

8.2.3 Resource Property wsag:Terms
This property specifies the terms of the agreement.

Note: In some application cases it might be worthwhile to decorate a specialized Agreement port type with a QueryResourceProperty operation as defined in [WS-ResourceProperties], in order to enable more to query the terms of the agreement in a more complex and/or granular way.

8.3 Port Type wsag:AgreementState
The purpose of this port type is to define a resource document type for monitoring the state of the agreement. This port type is not meant to be used as is but instead, its resource properties MAY be composed into a domain-specific Agreement port type.

8.3.1 Resource Property wsag:GuaranteeTermStateList
This property represents a state of fulfillment for each guarantee term of the agreement.
The set of values are:

- **Fulfilled** – Currently the guarantee is fulfilled.
- **Violated** – Currently the guarantee is violated.
- **NotDetermined** – No activity regarding this guarantee has happened yet or is currently happening that allows evaluating whether the guarantee is met.

8.3.2 Resource Property wsag:ServiceTermStateList
The property exposes a service state for each service description term that abstractly describes the state of a service.
The set of values are:

- **NotReady**
- **Ready**
- **Processing**
- **Completed**

9 Agreement Creation Use Case
Note: since the binding between the agreement layer and the layer of the service being provided is out of the scope of this specification, we omit the steps and operations that expose service layer services or application functionality. Suggestions
include using the [WS-ServiceGroup] idiom to have the Agreement service expose the list of services it binds to.

The agreement Factory MAY be a domain-specific specialization of the AgreementFactory described in the port types section of this document. In particular it MAY choose to replicate/reuse the wsag:createAgreement operation.

Process:

1. The initiator is interested in obtaining an agreement for service provisioning with the party implementing the factory. In order to create an agreement in one shot, the initiator calls the createAgreement operation on the Factory service, passing in offer terms that satisfy the creation constraints of one the templates exposed by the Factory as resource properties. If it is not accepted by the Factory, the createAgreement operation will throw a fault message.

2. Assuming the factory accepts the terms, it returns an endpoint reference (EPR) to an observed Agreement service.
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Appendix 1 - WSDL

Factory Port Type WSDL

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<wsdl:definitions xmlns:wsdl="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/
xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
xmlns:wsag="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
targetNamespace="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
>
<wsdl:types>
<xs:schema targetNamespace="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
xmlns:wsag="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
xmlns:wsa="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2003/03/addressing" elementFormDefault="qualified"
attributeFormDefault="qualified">
<xs:import namespace="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2003/03/addressing"/>
<xs:include schemaLocation="agreement_types.xsd"/>
<!--Resource property element declarations -->
<!--global elements are defined in the included schema -->
<!--Resource property document declaration -->
<xs:element name="AgreementFactoryProperties" type="wsag:AgreementFactoryPropertiesType"/>
<xs:complexType name="AgreementFactoryPropertiesType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element ref="wsag:Template" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
<!-- Operational input/output type declarations -->
<xs:element name="createAgreementInput" type="wsag:CreateAgreementInputType"/>
<xs:element name="createAgreementResponse" type="wsag:CreateAgreementOutputType"/>
<xs:complexType name="CreateAgreementInputType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element name="initiatorAgreementEPR" type="wsa:EndpointReferenceType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="0"/>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:element name="createAgreementOutputType" type="wsa:EndpointReferenceType">
<xs:complexType name="CreateAgreementOutputType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element name="createdAgreementEPR" type="wsa:EndpointReferenceType" minOccurs="0">
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
<wsdl:message name="createAgreementInputMessage">
<wsdl:part name="parameters" element="wsag:CreateAgreementInput"/>
</wsdl:message>
<wsdl:message name="createAgreementOuputMessage">
<wsdl:part name="parameters" element="wsag:CreateAgreementResponse"/>
</wsdl:message>
<wsdl:message name="createAgreementFaultMessage">
<wsdl:part name="fault" element="wsag:ContinuingFault"/>
</wsdl:message>
</wsdl:types>
</wsdl:definitions>
```
<wsdl:portType name="AgreementFactory">
  <wsdl:operation name="createAgreement">
    <wsdl:input message="wsag:createAgreementInputMessage"/>
    <wsdl:output message="wsag:createAgreementOutputMessage"/>
    <wsdl:fault name="ResourceUnknownFault" message="wsrp:ResourceUnknownFault"/>
    <wsdl:fault name="ContinuingFault" message="wsag:createAgreementFaultMessage"/>
  </wsdl:operation>
</wsdl:portType>

<wsdl:operation name="GetResourceProperty">
  <wsdl:input name="GetResourcePropertyRequest" message="wsrp:GetResourcePropertyRequest"/>
  <wsdl:output name="GetResourcePropertyResponse" message="wsrp:GetResourcePropertyResponse"/>
  <wsdl:fault name="ResourceUnknownFault" message="wsrp:ResourceUnknownFault"/>
  <wsdl:fault name="InvalidResourcePropertyQNameFault" message="wsrp:InvalidResourcePropertyQNameFault"/>
</wsdl:operation>

</wsdl:portType>
</wsdl:definitions>

Agreement Port Type WSDL

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
 targetNamespace="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement">
  <xs:schema targetNamespace="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
 xmlns:wsag="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
 xmlns:wsa="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2003/03/addressing" elementFormDefault="qualified"
 attributeFormDefault="qualified">
    <xs:include schemaLocation="agreement_types.xsd"/>
    <xs:complexType name="AgreementPropertiesType">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="wsag:Name" minOccurs="0"/>
        <xs:element ref="wsag:Context"/>
        <xs:element ref="wsag:Terms"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
    <xs:complexType name="TerminateInputType">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:any processContents="lax" namespace="##any"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
    <xs:complexType name="TerminateOutputType">
      <!-- Operational input/output type declarations -->
      <xs:element name="TerminateInput" type="wsag:TerminateInputType"/>
      <xs:element name="TerminateResponse" type="wsag:TerminateOutputType"/>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:schema>
</wsdl:import>
</wsdl:definitions>
Agreement Types Schema

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
  <xs:element name="Agreement" type="wsag:AgreementType"/>
  <xs:element name="AgreementInitiator" type="xs:anyType" minOccurs="0"/>
  <xs:element name="AgreementProvider" type="xs:anyType" minOccurs="0"/>
  <xs:element name="ExpirationTime" type="xs:dateTime" minOccurs="0"/>
  <xs:element name="TemplateName" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0"/>
  <xs:element name="RelatedAgreements" type="wsag:RelatedAgreementListType" minOccurs="0"/>
</xs:schema>
<xs:element name="All" type="wsag:TermCompositorType"/>
<xs:complexType name="TermCompositorType">
<xs:sequence maxOccurs="unbounded">
<xs:choice>
<xs:element name="ExactlyOne" type="wsag:TermCompositorType"/>
<xs:element name="OneOrMore" type="wsag:TermCompositorType"/>
<xs:element ref="wsag:All"/>
<xs:element name="ServiceDescriptionTerm" type="wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm"/>
<xs:element name="ServiceReference" type="wsag:ServiceReferenceType"/>
<xs:element name="ServiceProperties" type="wsag:ServicePropertiesType"/>
<xs:element name="GuaranteeTerm" type="wsag:GuaranteeTermType"/>
</xs:choice>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="AgreementTemplateType">
<xs:complexContent>
<xs:extension base="wsag:AgreementType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element name="CreationConstraints" type="wsag:ConstraintSectionType"/>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:extension>
</xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="AgreementType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element ref="wsag:Name" minOccurs="0"/>
<xs:element ref="wsag:Context"/>
<xs:element ref="wsag:Terms"/>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="AgreementInitiatorIdentifierType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element name="Reference" type="xs:anyType"/>
</xs:sequence>
<xs:attribute name="isServiceConsumer" type="xs:boolean" use="optional" default="true"/>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="AgreementProviderIdentifierType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element name="Reference" type="xs:anyType"/>
</xs:sequence>
<xs:attribute name="isServiceProvider" type="xs:boolean" use="optional" default="true"/>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="RelatedAgreementListType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element name="RelatedAgreement" type="wsag:RelatedAgreementType" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="RelatedAgreementType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element name="AgreementEPR" type="wsa:EndpointReferenceType"/>
</xs:sequence>
<xs:attribute name="RelationshipType" type="xs:QName" use="optional"/>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="TermType" abstract="true">
<xs:attribute name="Name" type="xs:NCName" use="optional"/>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="GuaranteeTermType">
  <xs:complexContent>
    <xs:extension base="wsag:TermType">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="ServiceScope" type="wsag:ServiceNameSet"/>
        <xs:element ref="wsag:QualifyingCondition" minOccurs="0"/>
        <xs:element ref="wsag:ServiceLevelObjective"/>
        <xs:element name="BusinessValueList" type="wsag:BusinessValueListType"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:element name="QualifyingCondition" type="xs:anyType"/>
<xs:element name="ServiceLevelObjective" type="xs:anyType"/>
<xs:complexType name="BusinessValueListType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element name="Importance" type="xs:integer" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
    <xs:element name="Penalty" type="wsag:CompensationType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="0"/>
    <xs:element name="Reward" type="wsag:CompensationType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="0"/>
    <xs:element name="Preference" type="wsag:PreferenceType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="0"/>
    <xs:element name="CustomBusinessValue" type="xs:anyType" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
  </xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:complexType name="CompensationType">
  <xs:sequence>
    <xs:element name="AssessmentInterval" type="xs:duration" maxOccurs="unbounded">
      <xs:complexType>
        <xs:sequence>
          <xs:choice type="xs:positiveInteger">"Count"
            <xs:element name="ValueUnit" type="xs:string" minOccurs="0"/>
            <xs:element name="ValueExpression" type="xs:anyType"/>
          </xs:choice>
        </xs:sequence>
      </xs:complexType>
    </xs:element>
  </xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:complexType name="PreferenceType">
  <xs:sequence minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="unbounded">
    <xs:element name="ServiceTermReference" type="xs:string"/>
    <xs:element name="Utility" type="xs:float"/>
  </xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:complexType name="ServiceTermType" abstract="true">
  <xs:complexContent>
    <xs:extension base="wsag:TermType">
      <xs:attribute name="ServiceName" type="xs:NCName" />)
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:complexType name="ServiceReferenceType">
  <xs:complexContent>
    <xs:extension base="wsag:ServiceTermType">
      <xs:any namespace="#other" processContents="strict"/>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>
Agreement State Port Type WSDL

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
targetNamespace="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement">
<wsdl:types>
<wsdl:schema targetNamespace="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
xmlns:wsg="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
xmlns:wsa="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2003/03/addressing" elementFormDefault="qualified"
attributeFormDefault="qualified">
<xs:include schemaLocation="agreement_state_types.xsd"/>
<!--Resource property element declarations-->-
<!--global elements are defined in the included schema-->-
<!--Resource property document declaration-->
<xs:element name="AgreementStateProperties" type="wsag:AgreementStatePropertiesType"/>
<xs:complexType name="AgreementStatePropertiesType">
<xs:sequence>
<xs:element ref="wsag:AgreementState"/>
<xs:element ref="wsag:GuaranteeTermStateList"/>
<xs:element ref="wsag:ServiceTermStateList"/>
</xs:sequence>
</xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
</wsdl:types>
<wsdl:portType name="AgreementState" wsrp:ResourceProperties="wsag:AgreementStateProperties">
<!-- pasting resource property accessor definitions from WSRP -->
<wsdl:operation name="GetResourceProperty"
message="wsrp:GetResourcePropertyRequest"/>
<wsdl:input name="GetResourcePropertyRequest"/>
<wsdl:output name="GetResourcePropertyResponse"/>
<wsdl:fault name="ResourceUnknownFault" message="wsrp:ResourceUnknownFault"/>
<wsdl:fault name="InvalidResourcePropertyQNameFault" message="wsrp:InvalidResourcePropertyQNameFault"/>
</wsdl:operation>
</wsdl:portType>
</wsdl:definitions>

Agreement State Types Schema

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema targetNamespace="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement" elementFormDefault="qualified"
attributeFormDefault="qualified" xmlns:wsag="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
xmlns:wsa="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws/2003/03/addressing"
xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema">
<xs:simpleType name="AgreementStateType">
<xs:restriction base="xs:QName">
<xs:enumeration value="wsag:beforeObserved"/>
<xs:enumeration value="wsag:observed"/>
<xs:enumeration value="wsag:afterObserved"/>
</xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
</xs:schema>
Appendix 2 - Job Submission Example

Domain-specific Service Description Languages Used in these Examples

The service description elements encountered in the following examples are fictitious but their semantics are inspired from [ComputeJobs], in which they are referred to them as “terms” in the domain of computational jobs. The paper use a deprecated grammar for expressing terms of agreement, therefore the XML expression of computational jobs it describes should be ignored. Domain-specific service description languages can now be totally agnostic of WS-Agreement. The schema below is an example of such a language. The elements it defines are used in the following examples.
Template

This example template enumerates the domain-specific service description elements that are allowed by the factory which advertises it. Note that while most service description elements bear no creational constraints, some of them are restricted in terms of value space. There is no constraint in this example that spans several items.
xmlns:wsag="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement
agreement_types.xsd http://www.gridforum.org/namespaces/job job_terms.xsd">
  <wsag:Name>Template1</wsag:Name>
  <wsag:Context/>
  <wsag:Terms/>
  <wsag:CreationConstraints>
    <wsag:Item wsag:name="executableTerm">
      <wsag:Location>//wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm/job:executable</wsag:Location>
      <!-- for each domain-specific service description <job:executable>,
          constrain the value of that element (i.e. reduce list of possible executables) -->
      <xs:enumeration xs:value="/bin/processData"/>
      <xs:enumeration xs:value="/bin/doStuff"/>
    </wsag:Item>
    <wsag:Item wsag:name="argumentsTerm">
      <wsag:Location>//wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm/job:arguments</wsag:Location>
      <!-- <job:arguments> is allowed; no constraint on its value, whichever the executable may be -->
    </wsag:Item>
    <wsag:Item wsag:name="stdin">
      <wsag:Location>//wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm/job:posixStandardInput</wsag:Location>
      <!-- <job:posixStandardInput> is allowed; no constraint on its value -->
    </wsag:Item>
    <wsag:Item wsag:name="fileStageIn">
      <wsag:Location>//wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm/job:fileStageIn</wsag:Location>
      <!-- <job:fileStageIn> is allowed; no constraint on its value -->
    </wsag:Item>
    <wsag:Item wsag:name="CPUcount">
      <wsag:Location>//wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm/job:numberOfCPUs</wsag:Location>
      <!-- <job:numberOfCPUs> is allowed; but must not be greater than 64 -->
      <xs:maxInclusive xs:value="64"/>
    </wsag:Item>
    <wsag:Item wsag:name="memory">
      <wsag:Location>//wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm/job:realMemorySize</wsag:Location>
      <!-- <job:realMemorySize> is allowed; but must be within a range -->
      <xs:minInclusive xs:value="128"/>
      <xs:maxInclusive xs:value="1024"/>
    </wsag:Item>
    <wsag:Item wsag:name="fullJob">
      <wsag:Location>//wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm/job:job</wsag:Location>
      <!-- A complete <job:job> description is also allowed -->
    </wsag:Item>
  </wsag:CreationConstraints>
</wsag:Template>
Offer

This is an example of an agreement offer that is compliant with the template above. Note the various structural complexities of the different domain-specific service description elements (job:executable, job:fileStageIn, job:job, etc...).

This example shows alternate branches using logical grouping compositors: the requested number of CPUs to allocate for the job “ComputeJob1” and the requested memory size used per CPU for the same service are packaged together in two flavors. In one of them, the number of CPUs is relatively high while the memory is relatively low and vice-versa for the other flavor.

Concepts for which it makes sense to specify single fixed values are expressed as domain-specific service descriptions inside wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm elements. For instance, job:executable.

There are guarantees which express the following requests:

- A constraint on the sum of the respective durations of the two file stage-in transfers described within the context of the service “ComputeJob1”. The XPATH expressions in the variables point to the respective service description elements. The total duration must not exceed 50 seconds (the duration refers to the fictitious metric “time:duration” which in this example is assumed to be imported from another namespace and is of type xsd:duration).
- A constraint on the time by which the service designated as “ComputeJob1” must be finished. The metric it refers to is job:endTime which is of type xsd:dateTime, thus the format of the time limit in the constraint expression.

The constraint language used within the guarantees is assumed as well. It could have been XML-based.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<wsag:AgreementOffer xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xmlns:wsag="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement"
  <wsag:Name>Offer1</wsag:Name>
  <wsag:Context/>
  <wsag:Terms>
    <wsag:All>
      <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="executable" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
        <job:executable>/bin/processData</job:executable>
      </wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
      <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
        <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="arguments" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
          <job:arguments>
            <job:argument>-d</job:argument>
            <job:argument>-c</job:argument>
          </job:arguments>
        </wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
      </wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
    </wsag:All>
  </wsag:Terms>
</wsag:AgreementOffer>
```
<job:argument>myFile</job:argument>
</job:arguments>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="fileStageIn1" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
<job:fileStageIn>
<job:remoteSource>protocol://submachine:3456/data/file1</job:remoteSource>
<job:localDestination>job/input/type1_data</job:localDestination>
</job:fileStageIn>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="fileStageIn2" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
<job:fileStageIn>
<job:remoteSource>protocol://submachine:3456/data/file2</job:remoteSource>
<job:localDestination>job/input/type2_data</job:localDestination>
</job:fileStageIn>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="FullComputeJob2" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob2">
<job:job>
<job:executable>/bin/doStuff</job:executable>
<job:arguments>
<job:argument>-u</job:argument>
</job:arguments>
<job:posixStandardInput>job/input/type1_data</job:posixStandardInput>
</job:job>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
<wsag:ServiceProperties wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
<wsag:VariableSet>
<wsag:Variable wsag:Name="duration1" wsag:Metric="time:duration">
<wsag:Location>/wsag:AgreementOffer/wsag:Terms/wsag:All/wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm[@wsag:Name='fileStageIn1']</wsag:Location>
</wsag:Variable>
<wsag:Variable wsag:Name="duration2" wsag:Metric="time:duration">
<wsag:Location>/wsag:AgreementOffer/wsag:Terms/wsag:All/wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm[@wsag:Name='fileStageIn2']</wsag:Location>
</wsag:Variable>
</wsag:VariableSet>
</wsag:ServiceProperties>
<wsag:ServiceProperties wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
<wsag:VariableSet>
<wsag:Variable wsag:Name="endTime" wsag:Metric="job:endTime">
<wsag:Location/>
</wsag:Variable>
</wsag:VariableSet>
</wsag:ServiceProperties>
<wsag:VariableSet>
    <wsag:Variable>
        <wsag:ServiceProperties>
            <wsag:ExactlyOne>
                <wsag:All>
                    <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="numberOfCPUs" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">32</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
                </wsag:All>
            </wsag:ExactlyOne>
            <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="memoryPerCPU" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">200</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
        </wsag:ServiceProperties>
        <wsag:GuaranteeTerm wsag:Name="MaxTransferDurationForJob1">50S</wsag:GuaranteeTerm>
    </wsag:Variable>
    <wsag:Variable>
        <wsag:ServiceProperties>
            <wsag:ExactlyOne>
                <wsag:All>
                    <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="numberOfCPUs" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">8</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
                </wsag:All>
            </wsag:ExactlyOne>
            <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="memoryPerCPU" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">1000</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
        </wsag:ServiceProperties>
        <wsag:GuaranteeTerm wsag:Name="MaxEndTime">50S</wsag:GuaranteeTerm>
    </wsag:Variable>
</wsag:VariableSet>
Agreement

This is an example of an agreement after acceptance of the offer. Notice that in this example, the only difference with the offer is that the alternate branches have been reduced to only one, corresponding to the choice made by the factory (based on resource availability). The service provider could have inserted qualifying conditions on certain terms of service, depending on factors such as resource availability.

This agreement document is the response of a GetResourceProperty request with the QName of the wsag:Terms resource property as the input parameter.
<job:argument>myFile</job:argument>
</job:arguments>

<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="fileStageIn1" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
<job:fileStageIn>
  <job:remoteSource>protocol://submachine:3456/data/file1</job:remoteSource>
  <job:localDestination>job/input/type1_data</job:localDestination>
</job:fileStageIn>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>

<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="fileStageIn2" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
<job:fileStageIn>
  <job:remoteSource>protocol://submachine:3456/data/file2</job:remoteSource>
  <job:localDestination>job/input/type2_data</job:localDestination>
</job:fileStageIn>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>

<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="FullComputeJob2" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob2">
<job:job>
  <job:executable>/bin/doStuff</job:executable>
  <job:arguments>
    <job:argument>-u</job:argument>
  </job:arguments>
  <job:posixStandardInput>job/input/type1_data</job:posixStandardInput>
</job:job>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>

<wsag:All>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="numberOfCPUs" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
<job:numberOfCPUs>32</job:numberOfCPUs>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm wsag:Name="memoryPerCPU" wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
<job:realMemorySize>200</job:realMemorySize>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
</wsag:All>
<wsag:ServiceProperties wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
<wsag:VariableSet>
<wsag:Variable wsag:Name="duration1" wsag:Metric="time:duration">
<wsag:Location>/wsag:Terms/wsag:All/wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm[@wsag:Name='fileStageIn1']</wsag:Location>
</wsag:Variable>
<wsag:Variable wsag:Name="duration2" wsag:Metric="time:duration">
<wsag:Location>/wsag:Terms/wsag:All/wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm[@wsag:Name='fileStageIn2']</wsag:Location>
</wsag:Variable>
</wsag:VariableSet>
</wsag:ServiceProperties>
<wsag:Location>/wsag:Terms/wsag:All/wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm[@wsag:Name='fileStageIn2']</wsag:Location>

</wsag:Variable>
</wsag:VariableSet>
</wsag:ServiceProperties>
<wsag:ServiceProperties wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
</wsag:VariableSet>
</wsag:ServiceProperties>
<wsag:GuaranteeTerm wsag:Name="MaxTransferDurationForJob1">
</wsag:VariableSet>
</wsag:ServiceProperties>
<wsag:GuaranteeTerm wsag:Name="MaxEndTime">
</wsag:VariableSet>
</wsag:ServiceProperties>
<wsag:ServiceProperties wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
Appendix 3 - Preference Example

Preference business values in guarantee terms can be used to guide which the choice of, for example, system configurations for jobs. The following example illustrates this.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<wsag:AgreementOffer xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.ggf.org/namespaces/ws-agreement agreement_types.xsd
http://foo.org/sdtc SDTCondition.xsd">
  <wsag:Name>Offer2</wsag:Name>
  <wsag:Context/>
  <wsag:Terms>
    <wsag:All>
      <!-- Rest of the job submission example-->
      <wsag:ExactlyOne>
        <wsag:All>
          <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm
            wsag:Name="numberOfCPUsHigh"
            wsag:ServiceName="BankingService">
            <job:numberOfCPUs>32</job:numberOfCPUs>
          </wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
          <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm
            wsag:Name="memoryPerCPUHigh"
            wsag:ServiceName="BankingService">
            <job:realMemorySize>200</job:realMemorySize>
          </wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
        </wsag:All>
        <wsag:All>
          <wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm
            wsag:Name="numberOfCPUsLow"
            wsag:ServiceName="ComputeJob1">
            <job:numberOfCPUs>8</job:numberOfCPUs>
          </wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
        </wsag:All>
      </wsag:ExactlyOne>
    </wsag:Terms>
  </wsag:AgreementOffer>
```
In this example, the following simple condition language is used:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<schema xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"

targetNamespace="http://foo.org/sdtc" xmlns:sdtc="http://foo.org/sdtc">
  <complexType name="OpType">
    <sequence>
      <choice minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="2">
        <element name="SDT" type="string"/>
        <element name="And"/>
      </choice>
    </sequence>
  </complexType>
</schema>
```
Appendix 4 - Reference Type Examples

The example shows a service that defines a Web as well as a Web service interface.

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>

<wsag:Name>Offer3</wsag:Name>
<wsag:Context/>
<wsag:Terms>
<wsag:All>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm
wsag:Name="WSDLInterface"
wsag:ServiceName="BankingService">
<sdtc:WSDLReference>
http://www.foo.org/interfaces/bank.wsdl
</sdtc:WSDLReference>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm
wsag:Name="WebAccess"
wsag:ServiceName="BankingService">
<sdtc:URLPrefixDefinition>
http://www.foo.org/bank
</sdtc:URLPrefixDefinition>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm
wsag:Name="WebAccess"
wsag:ServiceName="BankingService">
<sdtc:URLPrefixDefinition>
http://www.foo.org/bank
</sdtc:URLPrefixDefinition>
</wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
<wsag:ServiceDescriptionTerm>
</wsag:All>

<!-- More Terms -->
</wsag:Terms>
</wsag:Agreement>
```
The following – domain-specific – simple schema is used for the references:

```xml
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<schema xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" targetNamespace="http://foo.org/sdtc"
 xmlns:sdtc="http://foo.org/sdtc">
  <element name="WSDLReference" type="anyURI"></element>
  <element name="URLPrefixDefinition" type="anyURI"></element>
</schema>
```

Appendix 5 - Glossary

This glossary defines terms which are particularly important or unique to WS-Agreement. We do not attempt to define all terms used within the specification, and, in particular, we do define terms which have a general usage or definition in other specifications in other web services or Global Grid Forum specifications such as the OGSA Glossary document. However, where terms are defined which are also used elsewhere, the definition in this glossary provides the usage we use throughout this specification.

**Agreement.** An agreement defines a dynamically-established and dynamically-managed relationship between parties. The object of this relationship is the delivery of a service by one of the parties within the context of the agreement. The management of this delivery is achieved by agreeing on the respective roles, rights and obligations of the parties. The agreement may specify not only functional properties for identification or creation of the service, but also non-functional properties of the service such as performance or availability. Entities can dynamically establish and manage agreements via Web service interfaces.

**Business value.** The business value is intended to represent the strength of an agreement in domain-specific terms. In general, business value is an assertion representing a value aspect of a service level objective attached to the service that is the subject of the agreement. The value may be specified in terms of domain-specific qualities such as importance, cost and others. Each service level objective may have a list of business values attached to it, representing different value aspects of this objective. Both agreement consumer and agreement provider may specify business values.

**Consumer (Service Consumer).** A service consumer is an entity entering into an agreement with the intent of obtaining guarantees on the availability of certain services from the service provider. The agreement is negotiated by an agreement initiator on behalf of the service consumer. A service consumer and agreement initiator may, but need not, represent the same entity.

**Constraints (Agreement Creation Constraints).** Agreement creation constraints define a set of possible values for the agreement terms. They are represented in a separate and optional element of the agreement template and refer back to
individual terms they apply to using XPATH. Agreement constraints do not represent a promise on the part of the agreement provider that an agreement creation request will be accepted; they lay down rules which must be followed in the creation of an agreement but the acceptance of the individual term values is dependent on the state of the provider.

**Context (Agreement Context).** Agreement context represents the immutable part of the agreement (?). It contains information about agreement parties, the agreement’s lifetime, and references to related agreements (existing or past), and (optionally) a pointer to the template from which the agreement is created.

**Guarantee (Guarantee Terms).** Guarantee terms define the assurance on service quality (or availability) associated with the service described by the service definition terms. They refer to the service description that is the subject of the agreement and define service level objectives (describing for example the quality of service on execution that needs to be met), qualifying conditions (defining for example when those objectives have to be met) and business value expressing the importance of the service level objectives.

**Initiator (agreement initiator).** An agreement initiator is a party to an agreement. The initiator creates and manages an agreement on the availability of a service on behalf of the service consumer. Agreement initiator and service consumer may, but need not, represent the same entity.

**Negotiation.** The negotiation is an iterative message exchange intended to produce an agreement. The process of negotiation is initiated by retrieving the agreement template and ends in commitment.

**Parties (Agreement Parties).** Agreement parties consist of the agreement initiator and agreement provider.

**Provider (Service Provider).** A service provider is an entity entering into an agreement with the intent of providing a service according to conditions described by the agreement.

**Provider (Agreement Provider).** The agreement provider is a party to an agreement. It creates and manages an agreement on behalf of the service provider.

**Service Description Terms.** Service Description Terms describe the functionality that will be delivered under the agreement. The agreement description may include also other non-functional items referring to the service description terms.

**Service level objective (SLO).** Service Level Objective represents the quality of service aspect of the agreement. Syntactically, it is an assertion over the terms of the agreement as well as such qualities as date and time.

**Template (Agreement Template).** An agreement template is an XML document by the means of which the agreement factory advertises the types of offers it is willing to accept. Like an agreement document, the template is composed of a template name, a context element, and agreement terms, but additionally also includes information on agreement creation constraints.
**Terms (Agreement Terms).** Agreement terms define the content of an agreement. It is expected that most terms will be domain-specific defining qualities such as for example service description, termination clauses, transferability options and others.