

LECTURE - VI
PROCESS SYNCHRONIZATION

Tevfik Koşar

Louisiana State University
February 6th, 2007

Roadmap

- Process Synchronization
- The Critical-Section Problem
- Peterson's Solution
- Synchronization Hardware
- Semaphores
- Classic Problems of Synchronization
- Monitors
- Synchronization Examples
- Atomic Transactions



2

Background

- Concurrent access to shared data may result in **data inconsistency**
- Maintaining **data consistency** requires mechanisms to ensure the **orderly execution of cooperating processes**
- Consider consumer-producer problem:
 - Initially, count is set to 0
 - It is incremented by the producer after it produces a new buffer
 - and is decremented by the consumer after it consumes a buffer.

3

Producer

```
while (true)
/* produce an item and put in nextProduced
    while (count == BUFFER_SIZE)
        ; // do nothing
    buffer [in] = nextProduced;
    in = (in + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
    count++;
}
```

4

Consumer

```
while (1)
{
    while (count == 0)
        ; // do nothing
    nextConsumed = buffer[out];
    out = (out + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
    count--;
/* consume the item in nextConsumed
}
```

5

Race Condition

- `count++` could be implemented as
`register1 = count`
`register1 = register1 + 1`
`count = register1`
- `count--` could be implemented as
`register2 = count`
`register2 = register2 - 1`
`count = register2`
- Consider this execution interleaving with “count = 5” initially:
S0: producer execute `register1 = count` {register1 = 5}
S1: producer execute `register1 = register1 + 1` {register1 = 6}
S2: consumer execute `register2 = count` {register2 = 5}
S3: consumer execute `register2 = register2 - 1` {register2 = 4}
S4: producer execute `count = register1` {count = 6}
S5: consumer execute `count = register2` {count = 4}

6

Critical Section

- **Critical section:** segment of code in which the process may be changing shared data (eg. common variables)
- No two processes should be executing in their critical sections at the same time
- **Critical section problem:** design a protocol that the processes use to cooperate

7

Solution to Critical-Section Problem

A solution to the critical-section problem must satisfy the following requirements:

1. **Mutual Exclusion** - If process P_i is executing in its critical section, then no other processes can be executing in their critical sections
2. **Progress** - If no process is executing in its critical section and there exist some processes that wish to enter their critical section, then the selection of the processes that will enter the critical section next cannot be postponed indefinitely

8

Solution to Critical-Section Problem

3. **Bounded Waiting** - A bound must exist on the number of times that other processes are allowed to enter their critical sections after a process has made a request to enter its critical section and before that request is granted
 - Assume that each process executes at a nonzero speed
 - No assumption concerning relative speed of the N processes

9

Peterson's Solution

- Two process solution
- Assume that the LOAD and STORE instructions are atomic; that is, cannot be interrupted.
- The two processes share two variables:
 - int **turn**;
 - Boolean **flag[2]**
- The variable **turn** indicates whose turn it is to enter the critical section.
- The **flag** array is used to indicate if a process is ready to enter the critical section. **flag[i] = true** implies that process P_i is ready!

10

Algorithm for Process P_i

```
do {
    flag[i] = TRUE;
    turn = i;
    while ( flag[j] && turn == j);

    CRITICAL SECTION

    flag[i] = FALSE;

    REMAINDER SECTION

} while (TRUE);
```

11

Synchronization Hardware

- Many systems provide hardware support for critical section code
- Uniprocessors - could **disable interrupts**
 - Currently running code would execute without preemption
 - Generally too inefficient on multiprocessor systems
 - Operating systems using this not broadly scalable
- Modern machines provide special atomic hardware instructions
 - Atomic = non-interruptable
 - Either test memory word and set value
 - Or swap contents of two memory words

12

TestAndndSet Instruction

- Definition:

```
boolean TestAndSet (boolean *target)
{
    boolean rv = *target;
    *target = TRUE;
    return rv;
}
```

13

Solution using TestAndSet

- Shared boolean variable **lock** initialized to false.
- Solution:

```
do {
    while ( TestAndSet (&lock ))
        ; /* do nothing

    // critical section

    lock = FALSE;

    // remainder section

} while ( TRUE);
```

14

Swap Instruction

- Definition:

```
void Swap (boolean *a, boolean *b)
{
    boolean temp = *a;
    *a = *b;
    *b = temp;
}
```

15

Solution using Swap

- Shared Boolean variable **lock** initialized to FALSE;
Each process has a local Boolean variable **key**.
- Solution:

```
do {
    key = TRUE;
    while ( key == TRUE)
        Swap (&lock, &key );
    // critical section
    lock = FALSE;
    // remainder section
} while ( TRUE);
```

16

Atomic TestAndSet and Swap

- Implementing atomic TestAndSet() and Swap() instructions on multiprocessors is not trivial at HW level
- Also complicated for application programmers for use

17

Semaphore

- Semaphore **S** - integer variable
- Two standard operations modify **wait()** and **signal()**
 - Originally called **P()** and **V()**
- **wait (S) {**
 - while **S** <= 0
 - ; // no-op
 - S--;**
 - }**
- **signal (S) {**
 - S++;**
 - }**
- Less complicated
- Can only be accessed via two indivisible (atomic) operations

18

Semaphores as Synchronization Tool

- **Counting** semaphore - integer value can range over an unrestricted domain
- **Binary** semaphore - integer value can range only between 0 and 1; can be simpler to implement
 - Also known as **mutex locks**
- Provides mutual exclusion
 - Semaphore **S**; // initialized to 1
 - wait (S);
 - Critical Section**
 - signal (S);

19

Deadlock and Starvation

- **Deadlock** - two or more processes are waiting indefinitely for an event that can be caused by only one of the waiting processes
- Let **S** and **Q** be two semaphores initialized to 1

P_0	P_1
wait (S); . . wait (Q); . . signal (S); signal (Q);	wait (Q); . . wait (S); . . signal (Q); signal (S);
- **Starvation** - indefinite blocking. A process may never be removed from the semaphore queue in which it is suspended.

20

Classical Problems of Synchronization

- Bounded-Buffer Problem
- Readers and Writers Problem
- Dining-Philosophers Problem

21

Bounded-Buffer Problem

- N buffers, each can hold one item
- Semaphore **mutex** for access to the buffer, initialized to 1
- Semaphore **full** (number of full buffers) initialized to 0
- Semaphore **empty** (number of empty buffers) initialized to N

22

Bounded Buffer Problem (Cont.)

- The structure of the producer process

```
do {
    // produce an item

    wait (empty);
    wait (mutex);

    // add the item to the buffer

    signal (mutex);
    signal (full);
} while (true);
```

23

Bounded Buffer Problem (Cont.)

- The structure of the consumer process

```
do {
    wait (full);
    wait (mutex);

    // remove an item from buffer

    signal (mutex);
    signal (empty);

    // consume the removed item

} while (true);
```

24

Readers-Writers Problem

- A data set is shared among a number of concurrent processes
 - Readers - only read the data set; they do **not** perform any updates
 - Writers - can both read and write.
- Problem - allow multiple readers to read at the same time. Only one single writer can access the shared data at the same time.
- Shared Data
 - Data set
 - Semaphore **mutex** initialized to 1. (for readcount)
 - Semaphore **wrt** initialized to 1. (for writers)
 - Integer **readcount** initialized to 0.

25

Readers-Writers Problem (Cont.)

- The structure of a writer process

```
do {
    wait (wrt) ;

    // writing is performed

    signal (wrt) ;
} while (true)
```

26

Readers-Writers Problem (Cont.)

- The structure of a reader process

```
do {
    wait (mutex) ;
    readcount ++ ;
    if (readcount == 1) wait (wrt) ;
    signal (mutex)

    // reading is performed

    wait (mutex) ;
    readcount -- ;
    if (readcount == 0) signal (wrt) ;
    signal (mutex) ;
} while (true)
```

27

Dining Philosophers Problem

- Five philosophers spend their time eating and thinking.
- They are sitting in front of a round table with spaghetti served.
- There are five plates at the table and five forks set between the plates.
- Eating the spaghetti requires the use of two forks which the philosophers pick up one at a time.
- Semaphore **chopstick [5]** initialized to 1



28

Dining-Philosophers Problem (Cont.)

- The structure of Philosopher *i*:

```
Do {
    wait ( chopstick[i] );
    wait ( chopstick[ (i + 1) % 5] );

    // eat

    signal ( chopstick[i] );
    signal ( chopstick[ (i + 1) % 5] );

    // think
} while (true) ;
```

29

Any Questions?



30

Reading Assignment

- Read chapter 6 from Silberschatz.

31

Acknowledgements

- “Operating Systems Concepts” book and supplementary material by Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne.

32